

**Transportation/Land Use Task Force  
Kick-off/Visioning Meeting  
Thursday, April 26, 2012 @ 10:00 am  
Community Development Board Room**

**1. Welcome and Introductions.**

Mr. Boyd called the meeting to order at 10:00 am with a quorum present. MEMBERS PRESENT: Llewellyn Boyd, Chris Caldwell, Paul Cegelski, Jerry Chapman (for Wendall Waukau), Doug Cox, William Cox (for Walter Cox), Raymond Creapeau (for Norman Shawanokasic), Ken Klemens, Shawn Klemens, Kim Komanekin, , Jeremy Pyatskowitz (for Gary Schuettpelz), Karen Vermillion, Ben Warrington, Jerry "Gatie" Waukau, Larry Waukau, Annette Westphal, Wayne Wilber and Betty Jo Woznaik. MEMBERS ABSENT: S. Verna Fowler, Carrie Grignon, David Grignon, Judy Newton, Bruce Pecore, Sandy Stankevich, Duane Waukau and Marlin Waupoose. ALSO PRESENT: Kathy Thunes (Consultant), Nick Musson (Consultant) and Katherine Ahlquist (Consultant).

Mr. Boyd gave background information on the Menominee Land Use and Long Range Transportation Plans for the Task Force. Task Force and ECWRPC Staff introductions were made.

**2. Appoint Task Force Chair**

Initially since Mr. Boyd is the main contact person and liaison between the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin and East Central, it was decided that there is no need for a formal Task Force Chair. Further discussion identified Mr. Wayne Wilber as chair.

**3. Summary of Planning Process and Role of Task Force**

Ms. Thunes explained that she will be facilitating the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Mr. Musson will be facilitating the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). Binders for the Long Range Transportation and Land Use Plans were distributed and Ms. Thunes explained the contents of the Land Use Plan Binder including the contact list, and demographic chapter. Mr. Musson went over the Long Range Transportation Binder and explained the contents including the chapters: Intro to planning, public participation, modes of transportation, history and existing analysis to provide direction for spatial analysis. Mr. Musson asked for comments on the current draft chapters within the Long Range Transportation binder.

Mr. Boyd voiced a question and asked for the differences between the Menominee Tribe LUP and the Menominee County Comprehensive Plan, adopted in 2009. Ms. Thunes replied by saying that there will be some similarities, however, the Menominee Tribe LUP focuses more on Land use and will have a tribal focus versus a county focus. The demographic section in the Menominee County Comprehensive plan has data from the 2000 Census while the LUP will have data from the 2010 Census.

Mr. Doug Cox suggested that it would be useful to have some input from a representative of the Menominee County Land Conservation, Forestry and Zoning Department. He noted that it is essential to have the County represented for future meetings and throughout the entire planning process. It was suggested that Mr. Jeremy Johnson, Land Conservationist be contacted and asked to join the task force. Ms. Vermillion said that she would contact Mr. Johnson.

Ms. Wozniak asked how frequently the Task Force will meet. Ms. Thunes replied and said in order to get through the background information there will be about 5 meetings through September for the 26 person Task Force. She explained that there could be other meetings with department heads to help with the development of chapters and there will be one community visioning session planned for May.

Ms. Wozniak asked if future Task Force meetings will be held in a separate location and offered to have the next meeting at the Tribal Housing Department for a working lunch.

Mrs. Thunes discussed the project's funding sources including HUD, the Economic Development program and Transportation program funding. The 6 Livability Principals required by HUD were handed out and the public participation elements of the project were explained as well as how one can access contact information, project updates, and meeting minutes on Tribe's website. Components of the Land Use and Long Range Transportation Plans were discussed by Mrs. Thunes and Mr. Musson, respectively. Finally, Mrs. Thunes went over the Timeline, 7 phases of the project, and role of the task force.

#### **4. Review of Existing Land Use Map**

Ms. Thunes and Mr. Musson informed the Task Force that the existing land use maps would be brought to the meetings as the planning process progresses. Any changes to the existing land use maps will be noted on these maps, and incorporated into the draft plans. Ms. Thunes explained that the current Land Use maps include the Middle Village area and the portion of the Reservation in Langlade County, as well as, the enlarged sections of the 5 CDP's: Keshena, Middle village, Zoar, Neopit, and Legend Lake. The Land Use maps that are being used for the Tribal planning efforts were previously used for Menominee County and may need to be updated.

Mr. Cegelski suggested that in order to keep consistent with the Tribe's previous land use maps, the Tax area should be changed to the color red and the area designated as Tribal land should be changed to the color green. He also suggested representing the tribal non-trust area with the color orange.

Ms. Vermillion and Ms. Wozniak asked the question as to why there is no distinction between wetlands and undeveloped parcels highlighted in green on the current land use maps. Ms. Thunes explained that at this stage, the land use map does not have a distinction. However, the final Land Use Plan will have an environmental map and a final map that will include the differentiation between wetlands and undeveloped parcels. Mr. Musson explained that the Transportation section will have a similar environmental

mitigation chapter including a land use map that will differentiate parcels such as undeveloped and wetlands.

Ms. Wozniak suggested adding the unincorporated area of South Branch to the enlarged portion of the land use maps, specifically from County Road (CTH) M to CTH AA.

Mr. Cegelski asked Mr. Musson if the sustainable yield layer that was previously given to the Commission would be used for the report. Ms. Thunes and Mr. Musson agreed that the use of the sustainable yield layer is yet to be determined.

## **5. Visioning Session**

The Task Force divided into two groups and asked to write down **S**trengths, **W**eaknesses, **O**pportunities and **T**hreats (SWOT) within the Reservation. Each group compiled a list of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. Each person was given eight dots and asked to identify their two most important strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats. The group lists as well as the individual comment sheets were collected. East Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission will compile the results from this session as well as a community vision session that will be held in May. Results from both sessions will be used to develop the vision statements, goals, objectives and strategies for the Long Range Transportation and Land Use Plans.

## **6. Public Comment**

No public comment was received.

## **7. Next Steps**

### **A. Set Date for Community Information/Visioning Session**

A date was not confirmed for the Community Information/Visioning Session.

### **B. Set Date for Next Meeting**

Schedule next meeting for 11 AM – 2 PM on Wednesday, June 20, 2012 for a working lunch held at the Housing Department Offices'.

## **8. Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 12:00 pm.

Respectively submitted by,

Katherine Ahlquist, Recorder/Transcriber  
East Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission